



Little Lake City School District

Where Kids Are #1

10515 S. Pioneer Boulevard, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670-3799 (562) 868-8241 Fax (562) 868-1192

February 19, 2017

ADDENDUM NO. 3

TO: ALL BIDDERS

OWNER: LITTLE LAKE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

PROJECT: LEASE-LEASEBACK SERVICES FOR 2017 & 2018 SUMMER & WINTER
BREAK WORK

SUBJECT: QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

NOTICE TO BIDDERS: The following changes, additions and clarifications have been made to the original bid documents for the above referenced project (“Project”) and shall be incorporated into the bidder’s response. The bidder shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum where provided on the BID FORM. All other aspects of the work and services from the original bid documents shall remain unchanged. In case of conflict between the bid documents and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern.

QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Question: For Project A, Restroom Renovations and Architectural Barrier Removal at Lakeview, William Orr, and Paddison Elementary School can you please confirm we’re not submitting a bid for Phase I work?

Clarification: Yes, that is correct. For Project A, please do not submit a bid for Phase I work. Phase I work has already been completed. For Project A, proposals should only be for Phase II work.

Question: Section 7.8, Insurance, states “each proposal must include a letter from the Proposer’s insurance company indicating its ability to provide insurance coverage on behalf of Proposer in accordance with the following requirements...”

Will the District accept us including our Insurance Certificate rather than a letter from our Insurance Company, or does it have to be a letter from our insurance company?

Clarification: The District prefers a letter from the insurance company as expressed in the Request for Proposal. However, if there are circumstances preventing the Proposer from obtaining a letter from its insurance company by the Proposal due date, the District will accept an Insurance Certificate. The Proposer must include a statement explaining why it was not able to obtain a letter from the insurance company.

Based on the Proposer's explanation, the District may grade the Proposer lower for failing to submit a letter from the insurance company.

Question: In regards to Section 7.2.11.A, Pricing and Contingency, can you please clarify what the District is looking for on Project A when you state "Preliminary Estimated GMP with line-item pricing for construction work, and all costs, charges, and fees..."

Does the District want hard cost numbers of estimated construction values based on trades and subcontractors? Or is the District looking for just our General Conditions, Fee, Contingency Percentage and the other 5 categories listed?

Clarification: Yes, the District does want estimated hard cost numbers of construction values. As required by the Request for Proposal (RFP) under Section 7.2.11.A, a Preliminary Estimated GMP with line item pricing is required.

A breakdown by trade/subcontractor could achieve this. A breakdown as shown in a schedule of values could achieve this. The Preliminary Estimated GMP could be in a similar format to that which the proposer typically has used in its prior lease-leaseback agreements for other projects, as long as it provides the information as required by the RFP and in the categories as required by the RFP.

Hard construction costs line item prices should be broken out by school site, with a subtotal shown for each site, and a grand total for all the work at all the three sites. Fees, General/Special Conditions, Bonds/Insurance, Contingencies, and other costs should be based on the grand total for all the work at all three sites and these categories do not have to be broken out by site.

END OF ADDENDUM

Mission Statement: With a focus on learning, the shared mission of the Little Lake City School District community is to provide a quality education that ensures success for every student.