# L

## LITTLE LAKE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### ADDENDUM #1

#### **RFQ No. 21-22-004**

#### Architectural and/or Engineering Services

#### 1. <u>Clarifications/Responses to RFIs</u>:

**<u>Ouestion A</u>**: Confirm that since the above project is a conceptual RFQ, there are no official documents (i.e., plans and specifications)?

# **ANSWER:** The project or projects are currently conceptual and there are no official documents (i.e., plans and specifications).

**<u>Ouestion B</u>**: Would the district prefer a full A/E team response, or a separate SOQ response for the Architectural and Engineering disciplines?

#### ANSWER: This RFQ is for a full A/E Response, not separate.

**Ouestion C:** Does the District have a standard Owner-Architect Agreement that we can review?

#### **ANSWER:** There is not a sample agreement at this time.

**<u>Ouestion D</u>**: Will there be an RFQ for Commissioning Services?

**ANSWER:** This **RFQ** is to have a pool of Architects for architecture services. There are no **RFPs** for these services at this time.

**Ouestion E:** We are MEP and Civil Consulting Engineering Firm. Can we submit a proposal?

**ANSWER:** This **RFQ** is to have a pool of Architects for architecture services.

ADDENDUM #1 - RFQ No. 21-22-004 Architectural and/or Engineering Services

**Ouestion F:** Is there a list of Plan Holders / Interested Proposers available? I'm trying to get in contact with firms to assist them with our professional land surveying services for this RFP.

ANSWER: At this time, we do not see the need for the Architect to include survey and this would be something LMCCI coordinates if there is a project that requires it.

\_\_\_\_\_

END OF ADDENDUM